

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CLAUDELL HATTER,
Plaintiff

v.

DANIEL DYER,
Defendant.

Case No. 2:14-cv-616-AG (GJS)

**ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS
AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE
JUDGE**

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Complaint and all pleadings, motions, and other documents filed in this action, the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge (“Report”), Plaintiff’s Objections to the Report, and Defendant’s Response. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), the Court has conducted a de novo review of those portions of the Report to which objections have been stated.

Nothing in the Objections affects or alters the analysis and conclusions set forth in the Report.

The Court accepts the findings and recommendations set forth in the Report. Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that: Defendant’s motion to dismiss is GRANTED; and the Complaint is dismissed with leave to amend by no later than forty-five days after the date of this Order, remedying the deficiencies discussed in the Report in a

1 “First Amended Complaint.” The First Amended Complaint must be complete and
2 without reference to the prior complaint or any other already-filed document.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4
5 DATE: December 31, 2015



6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ANDREW J. GUILFORD
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE